

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,296	09/09/2004	Masaaki Takamiya	RSW920040103US1	5295
25259 IBM CORPOR	7590 05/14/200 ATION	EXAMINER		
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195 REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			ALI, OMAR R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2109	·
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RSWIPLAW@us.ibm.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/711,296	TAKAMIYA, MASAAKI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Omar Abdul-Ali	2109			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Se	eptember 2004.				
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ of drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmer	et(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/04</u> .		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to the original filing of September 9, 2004. Claims 1-18 are pending and have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-6 are drawn to a computer program per se. A computer program is not a series of steps or acts and this is not a process. A computer program is not a physical article or object and as such is not a machine or manufacture. A computer program is not a combination of substances and therefore not a compilation of matter. Thus, a computer program by itself does not fall within any of the four categories of invention. Therefore, Claims 1-6 are not statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the Java applet". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saidenberg et al. (US 2004/0003347).
- Claims 1, 7, and 13: <u>Saidenberg</u> discloses a system and method for displaying a user interface according to user interface properties carried in a style sheet, comprising:
- a. retrieving the style sheet having user interface properties (page 12, paragraph96);
- b. retrieving software instructions(sequences of instructions) to be executed on a client machine (page 5, paragraph 48);
- c. retrieving a script for providing said software instructions access to the style sheet (page 12, paragraph 98);

d. executing said software instructions on the client machine, said software instructions calling the script to retrieve user interface properties, said software instructions, when executed, displaying a user interface screen in accordance with the retrieved user interface properties (page 12, paragraph 100).

Claims 2, 8, and 14: <u>Saidenberg</u> discloses a system and method for displaying a user interface according to user interface properties carried in a style sheet as in Claims 1, 7, and 13 above, further comprising:

a. the software instructions are disposed in a Java applet (page 5, paragraph 48).

Claims 3, 9, and 15: <u>Saidenberg</u> discloses a system and method for displaying a user interface according to user interface properties carried in a style sheet as in Claims 1, 7, and 13 above, further comprising:

a. the script is a JavaScript (page 12, paragraph 96).

Claims 5, 11, and 17: <u>Saidenberg</u> discloses a system and method for displaying a user interface according to user interface properties carried in a style sheet as in Claims 1, 7, and 13 above, further comprising:

a. a portal application server for delivering the style sheet, the script, and the software instructions (page 12, paragraph 100).

Claims 6, 12, and 18: <u>Saidenberg</u> discloses a system and method for displaying a user interface according to user interface properties carried in a style sheet as in Claims 1, 7, and 13 above, further comprising:

a. the portal application server generates HTML having user interface components and associates the generated HTML with the style sheet, the system further comprising: a browser for displaying the user interface components according to the style sheet (page 4, paragraph 35/page 12, paragraph 100).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 10, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saidenberg et al. (US 2004/0003347).

Claims 4, 10, and 16: <u>Saidenberg</u> discloses a system and method for displaying a user interface according to user interface properties carried in a style sheet as in Claims 3, 9, and 15 above, but does not explicitly disclose the Java applet utilizes a Java-JavaScript API to call the JavaScript. However, <u>Saidenberg</u> does disclose using an application API (page 11, paragraph 88). Additionally, the Examiner considers it immaterial as to which programming language API is used, and it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a Java-JavaScript API to call the JavaScript. One would have been motivated to enable the applet to use a Java-JavaScript API to call the JavaScript in view of the fact the API is used to support requests for services, and Java is a widely used programming language throughout the internet and World Wide Web (WWW).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Abdul-Ali whose telephone number is 571-270-1694. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri(Alternate Fridays Off) 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Myhre can be reached on 571-270-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,296 Page 7

Art Unit: 2109

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OAA 05/01/2007 ames W. Myhre

Supervisory Primary Examiner

Lab-5